STATE OF VERMONT PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY BOARD

In Re: C. Robert Manby, Jr., Esq.

PRB File No. 2019-089

RULING ON RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE

On or about March 10, 2021 Respondent, C. Robert Manby, Jr., Esq., filed a motion to

extend the deadline in the Scheduling Order that requires depositions to be completed by March

15, 2021. Respondent states that he has requested and eventually subpoenaed, but is still waiting

to receive, documents from a doctor who has been identified as an expert witness by Disciplinary

Counsel in this proceeding. Production of the requested documents was apparently delayed

based on the need to obtain a release from the representative of an estate. Respondent requests

that the Panel order the witness to produce the requested information by a date certain and allow

Respondent an additional 10 days following production to take the deposition.

Respondent has not filed any motion to enforce a subpoena, nor has he served his motion

on the witness or on the estate representative. Moreover, any effort by Respondent to enforce a

subpoena must be pursued not from this Panel, but rather from a court. See A.O. 9, Rule

15(A)(3) ("Any . . . superior judge in the county in which the attendance or production is

required may, upon proper application, enforce the attendance and testimony of any witness and

the production of any documents subpoenaed.").

While the Panel will allow some additional time to take the deposition, it will not grant

the open-ended extension sought by Respondent. The Panel hereby grants a limited extension of

the deposition deadline – until the close of business on March 30, 2021 – without prejudice to

Respondent moving, for good cause, to extend that deadline further if he deems it necessary. In

reviewing any such request for a further extension, the Panel will expect that Respondent has

1

promptly pursued all available legal process to obtain the documents requested (if they have not been produced since the filing of Respondent's current motion) and, in addition, that Respondent has attempted to schedule and take the deposition on the day that documents are scheduled to be produced. Respondent has not demonstrated that the deposition cannot proceed the same day after review of the documents.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 19, 2021

Hearing Panel No. 2

By:

James A. Valente, Esq., Chair

Amelia W.L. Darrow, Esq.

Brian Bannon, Public Member