STATE OF VERMONT PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY PROGRAM

In Re: C. Robert Manby Jr.

PRB File No. 2019-089

Disciplinary Counsel's Witness List

In accordance with the scheduling order, paragraph 10, I provide the following

information to the panel regarding witnesses who may be called (set out below in alphabetical

order), brief summary of anticipated testimony, and estimated time required for direct

examination.

1. <u>Walter Decker</u>. Walter Decker is an investigator for the State of Vermont, Adult

Protective Services. He met with EM in her home on May 2, 2016 in connection with

an investigation into her son, JJM. Decker is expected to testify to his investigation

and findings and direct observations of EM on the date he met her. Anticipated time

for direct: 30 minutes.

2. Peter Gunther, MD. Dr. Gunther was EM's primary care physician from

approximately 2000 until her death in 2017. In 2015 and 2016, Dr. Gunther saw EM

for regular monthly appointments. He is expected to testify to his personal

knowledge, observations and medical opinions of his patient. Anticipated time for

direct: 25 minutes.

3. <u>Kurt Hughes</u>. Kurt is a lawyer who represented EM's daughters Patricia and Gail in a

guardianship proceeding for EM. He is expected to testify to the timeline and

occurrences for the probate court matter resulting in Patricia and Gail being appointed

as EM's guardians. Anticipated time for direct: 20 minutes.

4. <u>C. Robert Manby</u>, Jr.. Mr. Manby is the respondent. He is expected to testify

1

regarding his representation of EM and his own memory of his actions and observations. Anticipated time for direct: 1 hour.

5. <u>John McDonald.</u> John McDonald (JJM) is EM's son. He is expected to testify to his

memory of EM's interactions with Respondent and his own interactions with

Respondent during the time Respondent represented EM. Anticipated time for direct:

20 minutes.

6. William Nash, PhD. Dr. Nash was appointed by the probate court to evaluate EM in

connection with the guardianship proceeding over EM in 2016. He is expected to

testify to his observations of EM when he met with her in May 2016 and the

substance of his evaluation. Anticipated time for direct: 15 minutes.

7. Patricia Sundberg. Patricia is EM's daughter. She is expected to testify to her own

observations of EM during the time Respondent represented EM, the process of

undoing the estate-related documents Respondent assisted EM with, and to the impact

Respondent's conduct had upon her family. Anticipated time for direct: 20 minutes.

8. Carolyn Thompson. Carolyn is an attorney with a solo practice in Vergennes who

practices in areas similar to Respondent. She is expected to testify to what her own

processes and ethical considerations entail when performing estate-related work for

an elderly client. Anticipated time for direct: 20 minutes.

Dated: May 3, 2021

Sarah Katz

Disciplinary Counsel

2