



**ENTRY ORDER**

DECEMBER TERM, 2021

In re Stuart Robinson, Esq. (Office of } Original Jurisdiction  
Disciplinary Counsel\*) }  
 }  
 } Professional Responsibility Board  
 } CASE NO. PRB-099-2021 & PRB-007-2020

In the above-entitled cause, the Clerk will enter:

Respondent alleged during the course of disciplinary proceedings that he was unable to assist in his defense due to a physical disability. On October 15, 2021, this Court immediately transferred respondent “to disability inactive status pending determination of the incapacity.” A.O. 9, Rule 25(B). On November 29, 2021, a hearing panel assigned by the Board submitted a report to this Court. Based on the parties’ stipulation as to the validity of respondent’s claim of inability to defend, it recommended that the Court transfer respondent to disability inactive status “for an indefinite period and until further order of the Court.” A.O. 9, Rule 25(C).

Based on our review of the materials submitted, the Court concludes that the claim of inability to defend is valid. Consequently, “the disciplinary proceeding shall be deferred and the respondent retained on disability inactive status until the respondent’s return to active status.” A.O. 9, Rule 25(B). In the event that respondent has not yet complied with Administrative Order 9, Rule 27, he is directed to do so to the extent applicable.

BY THE COURT:

---

Paul L. Reiber, Chief Justice

---

Harold E. Eaton, Jr., Associate Justice

---

Karen R. Carroll, Associate Justice

---

William D. Cohen, Associate Justice