State of Vermont Superior Court – Environmental Division

ENTRY REGARDING MOTION			
In re Saman ROW Approv (Appeal from Developmen		Docket No. 176-10-10 Vtec V application approval)	
Title: Motion to Strike (Filir Filed: November 4, 2011 Filed By: Elizabeth H. MaG	,	llant William Basa	
No Response filed			
X Granted	Denied	Other	
Development Review Boar	rd ("the DRB") grantic Vermont to Peter San	2010 decision by the Town of Plainfielding an application for approval of a fifty-footman ("Applicant"). Because this is an on-theefs with this Court.	
Applicant's Brief ("Application that were not part of the red	ant's Reply"), contend cord below. Specifical on in an effort to contra	licant's Reply to Appellant's Response to ling that it asks the Court to consider matters lly, Applicant's Reply seeks to use an approved adict Appellant's argument that an approval of r subdivision approval.	
"the original papers filed w the panel in reaching the d 10(a); <u>State v. Brown</u> , 165 V	with the municipal pane ecision appealed from tt. 79, 82 (1996) ("The d	der only the record before us, which consists of the [and] any writings or exhibits considered by a" V.R.E.C.P. 5(h)(1)(A); see also V.R.A.P. documents were not among the original papers ently are not part of the record on appeal.").	
	it. Accordingly, the su	in 2010, and therefore the 2011 subdivision ubdivision application is not part of the record	
We therefore GRA Applicant's Reply when ma		tion to Strike. The Court will not consider nation on the merits.	
		November 9, 2011	
Thomas S. Durkin, J	udge	Date	

Brice C. Simon, Attorney for Interested Person Brenda Lindemann

Date copies sent to:	Clerk's Initials		
Copies sent to:			
Elizabeth H. MaGill, Attorney for Appellant William Basa			
Thomas Hayes, Attorney for Appellee Peter Saman			
Robert Halpert, Attorney for Interested Person Town of Plainfield			