

*Note: Decisions of a three-justice panel are not to be considered as precedent before any tribunal.*

**ENTRY ORDER**

SUPREME COURT DOCKET NO. 2001-438

APRIL TERM, 2002

Debra K. Parenteau

v.

Alan L. Parenteau

}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}

APPEALED FROM:

Orleans Family Court

DOCKET NO. 82-7-01 Osfa

Trial Judge: Howard E. Van Benthuisen

In the above-entitled cause, the Clerk will enter:

Acting pro se, husband appeals a final relief-from-abuse order wife obtained from the Orleans Family Court. Husband's filings in support of his appeal do not substantially conform to our appellate rules of procedure. Husband did not file a printed case as required by V.R.A.P. 30 and this Court's November 7 and December 3, 2001 orders directing him to do so. Similarly, husband's brief falls woefully short of our briefing standards. See V.R.A.P. 28(a) (appellant's brief shall contain a statement of issues presented; a concise statement of the case, facts and procedural history below; appellant's claims of error with references to the record; and an argument containing how the issues were preserved, the standard of review, the reasons for appellant's contentions, and citations to legal authority and relevant portions of the record). We will not search the record for error that is inadequately briefed. Tallarico v. Brett, 137 Vt. 52, 61 (1979). Husband has waived his right to appellate review by failing to comply with Vermont Rules 28(a) and 30 of Appellate Procedure, and our November 7 and December 3 orders.

Affirmed.

BY THE COURT:

\_\_\_\_\_  
John A. Dooley, Associate Justice

\_\_\_\_\_  
James L. Morse, Associate Justice

\_\_\_\_\_  
Denise R. Johnson, Associate Justice