

Note: Decisions of a three-justice panel are not to be considered as precedent before any tribunal.

ENTRY ORDER

SUPREME COURT DOCKET NO. 2002-429

MARCH TERM, 2003

Roland and Brenda Pepin

v.

Daniel Loverin

}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}

APPEALED FROM:

Washington Superior Court

DOCKET NOS. 326-6-02/192-4-02Wnc

Trial Judge: Mary Miles Teachout

In the above-entitled cause, the Clerk will enter:

Defendant tenant appeals the superior court's final order denying his motion for contempt and granting landlords a judgment of eviction and damages for unpaid rent. In his three-page brief, defendant makes several allegations suggesting that the court made erroneous findings and conclusions, but he has failed to provide a transcript of the final hearing, which included not only the witnesses' testimony but also the court's findings and conclusions. In short, he has failed to demonstrate that the court erred in any way. See In re S.B.L., 150 Vt. 294, 297 (1988) (" It is the burden of the appellant to demonstrate how the lower court erred warranting reversal."); Condosta v. Condosta, 142 Vt. 117, 121 (1982) (" The burden is on the plaintiff to produce a record which supports his position on the issues raised on appeal."); In re Wright, 131 Vt. 473, 490 (1973) (" This Court is not required . . . to undertake a search for claimed error . . . not adequately briefed, supported by argument, or pointed out in the record before us."). Consequently, we affirm the court's judgment.

Affirmed.

BY THE COURT:

Jeffrey L. Amestoy, Chief Justice

Denise R. Johnson, Associate Justice

Frederic W. Allen, Chief Justice (Ret.)

Specially Assigned