This Complaint is the result of non-specific allegation which failed to identify the unethical conduct or any specific Judge. The focus of the Complaint included allegations about a State’s Attorney, not under the jurisdiction of the Board. The Rules of the Judicial Conduct Board were sent to the Complainant, along with giving the Complainant 30 days to supplement the Complaint with specific allegations under the Board’s jurisdiction. Complainant did file additional materials, but the materials contained no allegations regarding any judicial officer.

The Judicial Conduct Board conducted an initial inquiry. To the extent the Complaint alleges ethical impropriety or a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct, the Board has determined that the Complaint is unfounded and there is no cause for further proceedings. Rules of the Supreme Court for the Disciplinary Control of Judges, Rule 11.

Accordingly, the Complaint in Docket No. 18.011 is DISMISSED.

JUDICIAL CONDUCT BOARD

By: Andrew H. Maass, Chair
September 7, 2018

Lindley Murphy
Northwest State Correctional Facility
3649 Lower Newton Road
Swanton, VT 05488

Re: Judicial Conduct Board Docket 18.011

Dear Mr. Murphy:

We are in receipt of your additional materials dated July 3, 2018. Your letter makes allegations against a State's Attorney, who is not under the jurisdiction of this Board.

The Complaint in this matter has been DISMISSED by the Vermont Judicial Conduct Board. A copy of the Closure Report is enclosed for your information. Pursuant to Rule 6 of the Rules of Supreme Court for Disciplinary Control of Judges, this matter shall remain confidential, except that the Closure Report shall be a public record pursuant to Rule 11.

Sincerely

JUDICIAL CONDUCT BOARD

By: ___________________________
Lynn C. Wdowiak, RP®
Administrative Assistant
to the Judicial Conduct Board

Enclosure: Closure Report