

| Action (updated 1/21/15) | Person / Group Responsible | Timeline | Updates / Progress |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Mapping | | | |
| CJC to identify appropriate county level stakeholders, in addition to the community teams, to include in the effort to update the SIM chart | CJC Core Team with Field Directors | July 23rd | Stakeholders list developed at CJC July 23rd meeting- DONE |
| CJC to develop a communication to explain the mapping effort and to invite community team participation | Deb & Lily | July | Sent out to CJC for review. Need to finalize on Aug. 20th-DONE |
| AHS Field Directors to identify an existing community team (Adult LIT or Re-Entry Teams) to participate in updating the Sequential Intercept Model (SIM) chart | Field Directors | August | Monica will send stakeholder list and ask them to id a team- DONE |
| Comparing Karen's chart to Bobby's to ensure consistency | Karen | August | Review at Aug. 20th mtg- DONE |
| CJC to create a SIM Model for community teams to use to identify the effective programs, services or supports in their community that correspond to each intercept point, or to identify gaps in such programs services and supports. | Monica, Karen, Kim, Tony, Barbara, Lily, | September 30th | DONE |
| CJC to develop an outreach to use to reach out to partners who cannot attend a community meeting: law enforcement, prosecutors, defense attorney, judges, etc. to guarantee their contribution to the mapping effort | Monica & Karen | September 30th | will use same outreach letter as developed by Lily and Deb- DONE |
| AHS Field Directors to utilize the existing Sequential Intercept Model (SIM) Chart to update the sequential intercept components by county; CJC will identify the overlap between AHS districts and counties to ensure comprehensive coverage across the state and will try to identify existing barriers in each county | Field Directors | October / November | DONE- 12/12/14 |
| CJC to review the final mapping efforts by county to look for comprehensive connections between crucial elements for each point and existing programs or practitioners and ensuring consistency of interpretation across communities, setting our current service baseline for the state | CJC Core Team | January | |
| Develop Recommendations for a System for Referrals | | | |
| Review, discussion and assessment of current system-use mapping documents to begin the discussion | CJC Core Team | January | |
| Discuss additional data needs: programmatic info/location and capacity, fidelity etc | CJC Core Team | January | |
| Discuss use of George Mason survey to ensure programmatic fidelity and quality for overall system | CJC Core Team and Sub | January/February | |
| CJC to review the ARK model and suggested terminology to ensure common understanding with Vermont terminology/definitions | With Doug Marlowe | January/February | |
| Identify gaps in the sequential intercept system by county and statewide | With Doug Marlowe | February/March | |
| Possible statewide meeting to discuss modeling, resources and gaps and to finalize recommendations: PRE TRIAL SERVICES ONLY? | With Doug Marlowe | February/March | |
| Identify interface between the criminal justice system and the treatment system | With Doug Marlowe | February/March | |
| CJC to develop initial recommendations specifically for a referral system for pre-trial services by county | CJC Core Team | March /April | |
| Arrange for the Blueprint for Health and the Green Mountain Care Board to review recommendations and offer suggestions, edits and revisions | | March / April | |
| CJC to work with AHS, community partners and practitioners to assess funding impact of recommendations and assess budgetary capacity and need | | May | |
| | | | rev.12/18/14 |